Economic Foundations and Applications of Risk

Part B. Applications

Chapter 4: Optimal Portfolio Choice

Till Stowasser

LMU, 2026

Syllabus

- 4.1 Introduction
- 4.2 Main model
- 4.3 Comparative Statics IV: Increase in risk aversion
- 4.4 Comparative Statics III: Increase in risk
- 4.5 Comparative Statics I: Increase in w_0
- 4.6 Comparative Statics II: Increase in i

4.1 Introduction

- One of the most archetypal decisions under risk is the **investment decision.**
- In this chapter, we will study a simple **portfolio choice model,** where an individual has to choose between a secure and a risky asset (4.2).
- We will concentrate on the **comparative static properties** of the investment decisions with respect to ...
  - ... the individual's **risk aversion** (4.3),
  - ... the **riskiness of the risky asset** (4.4),
  - ... an individual's **initial income** (4.5), and
  - ... the **return of the secure asset** (4.6).

4.2 Main model



Setup

- An individual can invest her initial wealth, w_0, in a **riskless asset** that pays out $(1+i)$ with certainty ...
- ... and a **risky asset** with payout $(1+\tilde{x})$ (where $\mu \equiv E[\tilde{x}]$).
- Let m denote the amount invested in the risk-free asset and a the sum invested in the risky asset.
- **Final wealth,** w, is then given by $w = m(1+i) + a(1+\tilde{x})$.
- The **maximization problem** takes the following form:

$$\max_{a,m} \mathrm{E}[u(m(1+i) + a(1+\tilde{x}))] \qquad \text{s.t. } m + a \leq w_0$$

w

$m = w_0 - a$

$$w = (w_0 - a)(1+i) + a(1+\tilde{x})$$
$$= w_0(1+i) - a - ai + a + a\tilde{x}$$
$$= w_0(1+i) + a(\tilde{x} - i)$$

Optimal portfolio choice

- Since the constraint will bind in the optimum, we can rewrite:

$$\max_a E[u(w_0(1+i)+a(\tilde{x}-i))] \doteq \max E[u(w(a))]$$

- The **first-order condition (FOC)** reads:

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{E}u}{\partial a} = \mathrm{E}[u'(w_0(1+i)+a^*(\tilde{x}-i))(\tilde{x}-i)] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

- The **second-order condition (SOC)** reads:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathrm{E}u}{\partial a^2} = \mathrm{E}[u''(\cdot)(\tilde{x}-i)^2] \stackrel{!}{<} 0$$

- The SOC is satisfied for risk averse individuals ($u'' < 0$).

Important result

- Hypothetical question: Can it be optimal that $a^* = 0$?

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathrm{E}u}{\partial a}|_{a=0} &= \mathrm{E}[u'(w_0(1+i))(\tilde{x}-i)] \\ &= u'(w_0(1+i))\mathrm{E}[\tilde{x}-i] \\ &= u'(w_0(1+i))(\mu - i)\end{aligned}$$

- Since $u' > 0$, it follows that
  - $a^* > 0 \iff \mu > i$, and
  - $a^* = 0 \iff \mu \leq i$ (If restricting a^* to non-negative values.)
- This is a **strong result:** Any risk-averse individual will (to some degree) partake in a risky project if the expected return from doing so is strictly larger than i.
- Intuition: At the certainty level, risk costs are second-order.

Graph: States of the World diagram

→ See end of Chapter 1



A refresher: Implicit function theorem

- For the rest of this chapter, we will look at the **comparative static properties** of optimal portfolio choice
- For this, we need the **implicit function theorem (IFT).**

Lemma 4.1: Implicit function theorem

- Let $f(x, y)$ be a continuously differentiable function with $f(x, y) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}|_{x,y} \neq 0$.
- Then, the following equality will hold:

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = -\frac{\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}}$$

Intuition of comparative static results

- However, the **proofs** (for a general utility function) are **technically very involved** and go beyond what is expected of you in this blocked course
- This is why, it will be **sufficient to discuss the intuition** of the comparative static results
- So **let's start discussing:** What do you believe to be true?
  - As risk aversion increases, a^* $\frac{da^*}{dA} < 0$
  - As the riskiness of the risky asset increases, a^* $\frac{da^*}{d\,\text{riskiness}} < 0$ if DARA, $= 0$ if CARA, < 0 if IARA
  - As initial income (w_0) increases, a^* $\hookrightarrow \frac{da^*}{dw_0}$ > 0
  - As the return of the safe asset (i) increases, a^* $\hookrightarrow \frac{da^*}{di} = \underbrace{SE}_{-} + \underbrace{IE}_{+ \text{ for DARA}}$
- We will verify a few of these results in an exercise for $u(x) = \ln(x)$

Risky Assets are a normal good

If $|SE| > |IE|$ ⇒ Ordinary good

If $|SE| < |IE|$ ⇒ Giffen Good